

## Revision of Waste Framework Directive 75/442/EEC, 2<sup>st</sup> reading

### Vote in the ENVI Committee on 8<sup>th</sup> April 2008

#### UEAPME<sup>1</sup> indications of vote on the Position on the draft recommendation for second reading Caroline Jackson and tabled amendments proposed by MEPs

Article	Am. N°	Tabled by	Position	Comments
Article 2, Paragraph 1, point (c)	5	Rapporteur	+	Uncontaminated excavated materials should not be waste, and can be used either on the same site or another site
Article 6, paragraph 4 bis	131	E. Hennicot-Schoepges, F. Grossetête, F. Brepoels, R. Seeber, L. van Nistelrooij, M-N Lienemann	+++	The waste list in its current form is a long document, which is sometimes excessively technical in nature and sometimes rather vague, resulting in great difficulties for its practical utilisation by SMEs. In particular for SMEs not dealing with waste treatment on daily basis (such as construction companies) reading through a 40-page document to find out whether the waste at hand is hazardous or not is not practical at all.
Article 7, paragraph 2 a (new)	16	Rapporteur	+	Necessary to reinforce the support for repair and reuse facilities, where SMEs can be greatly involved
Article 7, paragraph 4 a (new)	17	Rapporteur	+	In favor of extended producer responsibility schemes for specific waste streams
Article 8 a (new)	19	Rapporteur	---	The target of 70% is not based on any

<sup>1</sup> UEAPME is the employer's organisation representing the interests of European crafts, trades and SMEs at EU level. UEAPME is a recognised European Social Partner. It is a non-profit seeking and non-partisan organisation.

As the European SME umbrella organisation, UEAPME incorporates 85 member organisations consisting of national cross-sectorial SME federations, European branch federations and other associate members, which support the SME family.

UEAPME represents more than 12 million enterprises, which employ around 50 million people across Europe.

				existing statistical data, nor on any <b>feasibility study</b> nor on a stakeholder consultation. In reference to its 'Better Regulation' aim the European Commission recommends to improve and increase the use of impact studies for new proposals. It would be advisable that the Parliament also follows this recommendation before setting arbitrary quantitative targets.
Article 8 a (new)	149	M-N. Lienemann	+++	UEAPME requests that the European Commission undertakes a full impact study and a feasibility report, including a stakeholder consultation before setting any targets for the construction sector or any other sectors. UEAPME is not opposed to the European recycling society. Nevertheless, before setting quantitative targets it is also important to ensure that there are sufficient waste treatment and recycling facilities in the Member States. SMEs of the construction sector, producing 80% of the sector's turnover, need to have facilities at a reasonable distance from their place of work. In addition, especially with demolition works there are often a number of difficulties associated with waste segregation on site
Article 8 a (new)	150	D. Corbey	---	Same comments as for amendment 19
Article 8 a (new)	151	T. Ulmer	+++	Same comments as for amendment 149
Article 8 a (new)	152	Rapporteur, B. de Brùn, M. Murko, J. Blokland	---	Same comments as for amendment 19
Article 8 a (new)	153	J. Evans, C. Lucas	---	Same comments as for amendment 19
Article 8 a (new)	154	G. Sacconi, B. de Brùn, R. Musacchio	---	Same comments as for amendment 19
Article 34 a new	222	J. Evans, C. Lucas	+++	A consultation forum should be established for waste legislation with, among others, SMEs and craft industry involvement.